



# HOSPITAL EMPLOYEE HEALTH



THE PRACTICAL GUIDE TO KEEPING HEALTHCARE WORKERS HEALTHY

NOVEMBER 2018

Vol. 37, No. 11; p. 121-132

## INSIDE

**Is the jury in?** Skeptics claim the cry over surgical smoke is much ado about nothing . . . . . 124

**Smoke a threat to patients?** Knowing the various toxins and mutagenic materials in plume may give patients pause . . . . . 126

**Post-flu syndromes:** Increasing evidence of post-influenza illnesses that include heart attack, stroke . . . . . 127

**More mandates coming?** Mandatory flu vaccine policies for healthcare workers resulted in 95% vaccination rates in 2017-2018 . . . . . 128

**USP changes:** Standards revised to protect workers exposed to hazardous drugs . . . . . 129

**The disruptive physician:** Choose your battles wisely . . . . . 130



RELIAS MEDIA

## Rhode Island Passes Landmark Law Protecting HCWs from Surgical Smoke

*Small state takes big step; others may follow*

Gary Evans, Medical Writer

In what may be a tipping point in the long struggle to end surgical smoke exposures in the operating room, Rhode Island has become the first state to mandate that healthcare facilities take measures to protect healthcare workers (HCWs) from the hazardous plume.

Testifying before passage of the bill recently was **Julie Greenhalgh**, RN, an operating room nurse with 42 years of experience in Cranston, RI.

“As a young nurse, we were at the beginning of cauterization,” she said. “We knew that it smelled — a powerful, obnoxious odor — but we weren’t aware of the side effects.”

She attributes her chronic lung disease to decades of occupational exposure to surgical smoke.

“I have a constant cough, bronchitis, and asthma,” she said.

“I have never smoked cigarettes and never had asthma as a child.”

Testifying at a Feb. 14, 2018, hearing on the bill, Greenhalgh held up a plastic bag of medications she uses to treat her lung disease.

“I have three inhalers that I use every day as well as some

oral medications,” she said. “I have been trying to promote this for many years. Passing this bill will allow nurses to work to save patient lives without putting their own lives in danger.”

Effective Jan. 1, 2019, the Rhode

“WE KNEW THAT IT SMELLED — A POWERFUL, OBNOXIOUS ODOR — BUT WE WEREN’T AWARE OF THE SIDE EFFECTS.”

ReliasMedia.com

**Financial Disclosure:** Medical Writer **Gary Evans**, Editor **Jill Drachenberg**, Editor **Jesse Saffron**, Editorial Group Manager **Terrey L. Hatcher**, and Nurse Planner **Amy Johnson**, MSN, RN, report no consultant, stockholder, speaker’s bureau, research, or other financial relationships with companies having ties to this field of study.

in 2017–18 was higher among physicians (96.1%), pharmacists (92.2%), nurses (90.5%), and nurse practitioners and physician assistants (87.8%), and lower among other clinical healthcare personnel (80.9%), assistants and aides

(71.1%), and nonclinical health care personnel (72.8%),” the CDC concluded. ■

## REFERENCES

1. CDC. Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Health Care Personnel —

United States, 2017–18 Influenza Season. *MMWR* 2018;67(38);1050–1054.

2. CDC. National Press Conference Kicks Off 2018-2019 Flu Vaccination Campaign. Sept. 27, 2018. Available at: <https://bit.ly/2xKK1Od>.

---

# New USP Hazardous Drug Rules Coming Soon

*What employee health professionals need to know*

**T**he U.S. Pharmacopeia (USP) continues to revise its standards to protect workers exposed to hazardous drugs, including those involved in compounding medications.

Although employee health may not have oversight of a facility’s hazardous drug policy, involvement and awareness is encouraged to protect workers. Hazardous drugs like those used in oncology include those that can cause cancer, genetic damage, and reproductive problems. While these effects necessitate following workers long-term, immediate effects of being exposed to the drugs include nausea, dizziness, and nasal damage.

The three general hazardous drug categories are antineoplastic, non-antineoplastic, and reproductive hazards. Design improvements may be needed to prevent exposures, but there also are compliance factors like insufficient stocks of personal protective equipment or failing to wear it if available. Occupational exposures also can occur if facilities are not using closed-system drug transfer devices. (*See Hospital Employee Health, March 2018.*)

*HEH* talked to **Ken Maxik**, director of patient safety for CompleteRx, a hospital pharmacy management company, about some of the changes.

**HEH:** Can you generally describe some of the actions the USP is taking?

**Maxik:** Over the last couple of years, the USP has been making some changes to their sterile and nonsterile compounding. They started by releasing USP 800, which deals with hazardous drug compounding. Then earlier this year they released USP 795, which deals with nonsterile compounding. Then more recently they released USP 797, which deals with sterile compounds.

What they essentially did in trying to match these three chapters is break off the section on hazardous drug compounding, which previously was a part of USP 797. They created it as a new chapter in USP 800, which I believe they did to place greater emphasis on safety related to compounding hazardous medications. In the [overview] of the USP 800 chapter, they point out that part of the purpose of the chapter is to describe worker safety.

**HEH:** Is this more a matter of emphasis, or are their new recommendations that employee health professionals should be aware of?

**Maxik:** There are changes. For example, they are now recommending that you conduct environmental wipe sampling of your hazardous

drug surfaces for residue. They are also recommending that you have policy and procedures for safety data sheets to document effective training and proper garbing. They also outline that they now want you to use closed-system transfer devices. That must be used for administration. That is something that is new, and they are recommending that you use closed system devices for the compounding portions. They are trying to prevent aerosolization from occurring. They also aligned that with a recommendation to have a medical surveillance program in place [for employees].”

**HEH:** Are these recommendation or requirements?

**Maxik:** USP by itself does not enforce its own recommendations. They do not have an enforcement division. The way that USP recommendations get enforced is through state boards of pharmacy and accreditation organizations, which adopt the USP recommendations. They either put it into their accreditation standards or their state board rules and regulations.

**HEH:** Generally, when you look at these changes, would you say that healthcare facilities that adopt these measures will better protect their workers?

**Maxik:** Absolutely. We are already

finding in facilities that we are working with that the pharmacies have placed the recommended changes into their capital budgets. That way, they can redesign their clean rooms and split out the

separate clean rooms for hazardous compounding. Also, many of the organizations have begun to conduct risk assessments, which are called for in the USP 800 chapter. They are now identifying and assessing

the risks to employees. I'm certainly not saying everybody didn't have something previously, but they are developing what their organization's mitigation strategies will be for those potential risks. ■

## How to Deal With the Disruptive, Difficult Physician

Employee health professionals assessing their work culture can point to difficult and disruptive staff, sometimes entire units.

Dealing with such a physician or group always is a tough proposition. That is especially so when, for example, a physician is a high-revenue generator, a leader in a specialty, or otherwise powerful and important to the organization. And how do you get staff to comply with directives without singling out individuals for discipline?

Accountability is key, even though there has been a movement away from holding individuals accountable in favor of redesigning systems to encourage the desired behavior, says **Gerald B. Hickson**, MD, senior vice president for quality, safety, and risk prevention at Vanderbilt University Medical Center in Nashville.

Rude or abusive physicians must be managed affirmatively no matter how much cachet or power they have within the hospital, Hickson says. Vanderbilt uses a program that addresses disruptive physician behavior in an escalating fashion, and he says it must be employed without regard to the doctor's position in the hierarchy.

Dealing with dysfunctional systems requires returning the right amount of professional accountability to the healthcare environment, but without returning

to the unproductive approach of past decades, Hickson says. No one wants to go back to the old way of shaming and blaming individuals for every error, he says, but there must be accountability for disruptive and abusive behavior.

"If you focus only on intentionally designed systems without pairing it with professional accountability, you get a lot of discussions that don't go anywhere," he says. "We can all agree that washing hands is a good thing, but just saying that doesn't make people wash their hands. At some point, you have to hold people accountable."

Hickson recalls how a unit at Vanderbilt was not compliant with handwashing expectations, and when called to task, staff said conditions on the unit were not conducive to good hand hygiene. He agreed that they could not be held responsible if the hospital did not provide adequate conditions for good hygiene, so the hospital improved the work area in the way the staff asked.

"After we made all of those improvements, to their specifications, their performance didn't improve a bit," he says. "We can spend a lot of time as quality and safety officers fixing things that need to be fixed, but it must be coupled with a clear, unambiguous declaration that we expect our members to do every time. Unless you have people, process, and

technology aligned to help you with professional accountability, your safety program cannot move forward because of the influence of a very small number of people who decide these things don't apply to them."

That reasoning can be applied to a number of quality and safety efforts — everything from handwashing and timeout compliance to physician interactions with staff and patients, he says.

"If you don't have a plan for dealing with the subset of people who don't comply with expectations, it is hard for everyone else to maintain high reliability," he says.

Whether the unacceptable behavior is poor hand hygiene or a physician who is verbally abusive to staff, hospital leadership must engage the problem head-on and make clear that such behavior is not acceptable, Hickson says. Once the organization makes the proper behavior possible by providing the necessary resources and processes, it is reasonable to expect compliance with your expectations, he says.

"Some of the best interventions come from people who are manning a desk somewhere but realize they are part of the safety team. If they see someone engaged in behavior that is not appropriate, they interact in a socially appropriate way," Hickson says. "That means you never embarrass, never humiliate, but you



# HOSPITAL EMPLOYEE HEALTH

## NURSE PLANNER

**Kay Ball, PhD, RN, CNOR, CMLSO, FAAN**

Professor of Nursing  
Otterbein University  
Westerville, OH

## EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

**MaryAnn Gruden, MSN, CRNP, NP-C, COHN-S/CM**

AOHP Association Community Liaison  
Manager of Employee Health Services  
Allegheny Health Network  
Pittsburgh

**William G. Buchta, MD, MPH**

Medical Director, Employee Occupational Health Service  
Mayo Clinic  
Rochester, MN

**June Fisher, MD**

Director, Training for Development of Innovative Control Technology  
The Trauma Foundation  
San Francisco General Hospital

**Guy Fragala, PhD, PE, CSP**

Consultant/Health Care Safety Environmental Health and Engineering  
Newton, MA

**Gabor Lantos, MD, PEng, MBA**

President  
Occupational Health Management Services  
Toronto

**Amber Mitchell, PhD**

President and Executive Director  
International Safety Center  
University of Virginia

**JoAnn Shea, MSN, ARNP**

Director  
Employee Health & Wellness  
Tampa (FL) General Hospital

**Dee Tyler**

RN, COHN-S, FAOHN  
Director, Medical Management  
Coversys Insurance Services

DocuSign Envelope ID: D3699585-5D08-4415-B858-238D83AD2459

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE® (All Periodicals Publications Except Requester Publications)**

**Statement of Ownership, Management, and Circulation**

1. Publication Title: **Hospital Employee Health**

2. Publication Number: **0744-6470**

3. Filing Date: **10/1/2018**

4. Issue Frequency: **Monthly**

5. Number of Issues Published Annually: **12**

6. Annual Subscription Price: **\$499.00**

7. Complete Mailing Address of Known Office of Publication (Not printer) (Street, city, county, state, and ZIP+4®):  
**111 Corning Rd, Ste 250, Cary, NC 27518**

Contact Person: **Joshua Scalzetti**  
Telephone (include area code): **919-439-1751**

8. Complete Mailing Address of Headquarters or General Business Office of Publisher (Not printer):  
**111 Corning Rd, Ste 250, Cary, NC 27518**

9. Full Names and Complete Mailing Addresses of Publisher, Editor, and Managing Editor (Do not leave blank)

Publisher (Name and complete mailing address):  
**Relias LLC, 111 Corning Rd, Ste 250, Cary, NC 27518**

Editor (Name and complete mailing address):  
**Jill Drachenberg, 111 Corning Rd, Ste 250, Cary, NC 27518**

Managing Editor (Name and complete mailing address):  
**Jesse Saffron, 111 Corning Rd, Ste 250, Cary, NC 27518**

10. Owner (Do not leave blank. If the publication is owned by a corporation, give the name and address of the corporation immediately followed by the names and addresses of all stockholders owning or holding 1 percent or more of the total amount of stock. If not owned by a corporation, give the names and addresses of the individual owners. If owned by a partnership or other unincorporated firm, give its name and address as well as those of each individual owner. If the publication is published by a nonprofit organization, give its name and address.)

Full Name	Complete Mailing Address
Relias LLC	111 Corning Rd, Ste 250, Cary, NC 27518
Bertelsmann Learning LLC	1745 Broadway, New York, NY 10019

11. Known Bondholders, Mortgagees, and Other Security Holders Owning or Holding 1 Percent or More of Total Amount of Bonds, Mortgages, or Other Securities. If none, check box  None

12. Tax Status (For completion by nonprofit organizations authorized to mail at nonprofit rates) (Check one)  
The purpose, function, and nonprofit status of this organization and the exempt status for federal income tax purposes:  
 Has Not Changed During Preceding 12 Months  
 Has Changed During Preceding 12 Months (Publisher must submit explanation of change with this statement)

PS Form 3526, July 2014 (Page 1 of 4 (see instructions page 4)) PSN: 7530-01-000-9931 PRIVACY NOTICE: See our privacy policy on www.usps.com

---

DocuSign Envelope ID: D3699585-5D08-4415-B858-238D83AD2459

13. Publication Title: **Hospital Employee Health**

14. Issue Date for Circulation Data Below: **September 2018**

15. Extent and Nature of Circulation

	Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months	No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date
a. Total Number of Copies (Net press run)	315	278
b. Paid Circulation (By Mail and Outside the Mail)		
(1) Mailed Outside-County Paid Subscriptions Stated on PS Form 3541 (Include paid distribution above nominal rate, advertiser's proof copies, and exchange copies)	259	243
(2) Mailed In-County Paid Subscriptions Stated on PS Form 3541 (Include paid distribution above nominal rate, advertiser's proof copies, and exchange copies)	0	0
(3) Paid Distribution Outside the Mails Including Sales Through Dealers and Carriers, Street Vendors, Counter Sales, and Other Paid Distribution Outside USPS®	4	5
(4) Paid Distribution by Other Classes of Mail Through the USPS (e.g., First-Class Mail®)	28	6
c. Total Paid Distribution (Sum of 15b (1), (2), (3), and (4))	291	254
d. Free or Nominal Rate Distribution (By Mail and Outside the Mail)		
(1) Free or Nominal Rate Outside-County Copies included on PS Form 3541	4	4
(2) Free or Nominal Rate In-County Copies included on PS Form 3541	0	0
(3) Free or Nominal Rate Copies Mailed at Other Classes Through the USPS (e.g., First-Class Mail)	0	0
(4) Free or Nominal Rate Distribution Outside the Mail (Carriers or other means)	3	3
e. Total Free or Nominal Rate Distribution (Sum of 15d (1), (2), (3) and (4))	7	7
f. Total Distribution (Sum of 15c and 15e)	298	261
g. Copies not Distributed (See Instructions to Publishers #4 (page #3))	17	17
h. Total (Sum of 15f and g)	315	278
i. Percent Paid (15c divided by 15f times 100)	98%	97%

\* If you are claiming electronic copies, go to line 16 on page 3. If you are not claiming electronic copies, skip to line 17 on page 3.

---

DocuSign Envelope ID: D3699585-5D08-4415-B858-238D83AD2459

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE® (All Periodicals Publications Except Requester Publications)**

**Statement of Ownership, Management, and Circulation**

16. Electronic Copy Circulation

	Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months	No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date
a. Paid Electronic Copies		
b. Total Paid Print Copies (Line 15c) + Paid Electronic Copies (Line 16a)		
c. Total Print Distribution (Line 15f) + Paid Electronic Copies (Line 16a)		
d. Percent Paid (Both Print & Electronic Copies) (16b divided by 16c x 100)		

I certify that 50% of all my distributed copies (electronic and print) are paid above a nominal price.

17. Publication of Statement of Ownership  
 If the publication is a general publication, publication of this statement is required. Will be printed in the **November 2018** issue of this publication.  Publication not required.

18. Signature and Title of Editor, Publisher, Business Manager, or Owner  
**Bjoern Bauer**, Chief Financial Officer  
Date: **19-Sep-2018**

I certify that all information furnished on this form is true and complete. I understand that anyone who furnishes false or misleading information on this form or who omits material or information requested on the form may be subject to criminal sanctions (including fines and imprisonment) and/or civil sanctions (including civil penalties).